

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,820	04/17/2001	Tomohisa Hoshino	P 280192 EL00028CDC	5539
909	7590 07/01/2002	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102		, ,	EXAM	INER
		4/17/00	LUU, CHUONG A	
		1.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2825	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/835,820

Applicant(s)

HOSHINO et al.

Examiner

Chrong Luu Calvin Lee Art Unit 2825



Th MAILING DATE of this communication app ars	on the cover sh et with th corresp nd nc address				
P riod for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no mailing date of this communication. 	o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the				
 If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status					
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Apr 16, 26</u>	002				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This acti	on is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte QuayNe35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☑ Claim(s) _1-16	is/are pending in the applica				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera				
5) ☑ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u>	is/are allowed.				
6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-7, 15, and 16</u>	is/are rejected.				
7) 🛛 Claim(s) _2	is/are objected to.				
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re a∏ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🛛 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:					
1. 💢 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 					
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the of	ertified copies not received.				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	oyouter.				

Application No: 09/835,820

Paper 8

HOSHINO et al.

Page 2

Docket No: 280192

OFFICE ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The addition of claims 15-16 in Paper 7 received April 16, 2002 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. Claims 1, -7, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.102(b) as being anticipated by *Lee at al. (US 5,665,659)*.

Lee discloses a method of fabricating a semiconductor device, comprising the steps of:

- forming a barrier layer 67 on an insulating film 65 covering a substrate 61 [Fig.7];
- exposing the barrier layer to a nitrogen atmosphere at a temperature of 250-500 °C;
- forming a copper film 69 on the barrier conductor layer [col. 7]
- exposing film **69** to a reducing gas atmosphere of hydrogen or nitrogen at 250-500°C [col. 7, line 62 through col. 8, line 13];

Since *Lee* suggests exposing the barrier to a nitrogen atmosphere, *Lee* inherently teaches or suggests exposing to a reducing atmosphere. The nitrogen gas, which is used in *Lee*'s exposing step, reads on the claimed reducing gas.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over *Lee at al.* in view of *Kajita (US 5,953,634)*.

Lee is silent about the barrier layer comprised of tungsten nitride or tantalum nitride.

Nevertheless, such barrier layer's material is known in the semiconductor processing art as

Application No: 09/835,820 Docket No: 280192

Paper 8 HOSHINO et al. Page 3

evidenced by *Kajita* disclosing a barrier conductive layer formed of tantalum nitride or tungsten nitride by a CVD process [col. 11, line 66 through col. 12, line 3].

It would have been obvious to one having skills in the art to have modified the barrier material of *Lee* by utilizing tungsten nitride instead because tungsten is a lot durable than titanium

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 8-14 are allowed because none of the above references teaches or suggests exposing the barrier conductive layer to a plasma of a reducing gas.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicants argued that "the [Lee] reference does not suggests exposing to a reducing atmosphere. Examiner notes that the nitrogen gas, which is used in *Lee*'s exposing step, reads on the claimed gas. Therefore, *Lee* inherently teaches or suggests exposing to a reducing atmosphere

Applicants' arguments that "Akahori is silent about formation of a metal film on a barrier film by a CVD process", "Buchwalter merely teaches the use of a plasma treatment of a thin Cu layer to form an inorganic barrier layer...is thus insulative and is totally different from the conductive barrier layer...Buchwalter appears to be irrelevant to claim 8" are persuasive.

Application No: 09/835,820

Docket No: 280192

Paper 8

HOSHINO et al.

Page 4

However, note in the rejection above, that the specific portions of *Lee* in view of *Kajita*, relied upon by the Examiner to reject the claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong A Luu whose telephone number is (703)3050129. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (703)308-1323. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7722 for After Final communications.

June 30, 2002

CAL

MATTHEW SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800